

Jet Propulsion Laboratory
California Institute of Technology

Forecasting ionospheric total electron content: a case study of the June 2012 geomagnetic storm

X. Meng, A. J. Mannucci, O. P. Verkhoglyadova, and B. T. Tsurutani

Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology

Copyright 2017. California Institute of Technology. All Rights Reserved.

Background


- We have performed forecast-mode ionospheric-thermospheric model runs for 30+ CIR/HSS and CME storms.

- Models
  - Global Ionosphere-Thermosphere Model (GITM)
  - Thermosphere Ionosphere Electrodynamics General Circulation Model (TIE-GCM)
  - Coupled Thermosphere Ionosphere Plasmasphere Electrodynamics Model (CTIPe)


- Forecast-mode run inputs are predictable: solar wind, F10.7 flux
  - 60 runs with actual solar wind and **forecasted** F10.7 (via CCMC)
  - 20 runs with actual solar wind and **actual** F10.7
  - More runs planned


Motivation

- GITM and TIE-GCM overestimate the June 2012 CIR/HSS storm response in similar regions.




Motivation






- Extended equatorial anomaly region

Motivation







- Enhanced TEC


Modeling Experiment Set-up

- **GITM Test 1**: “quiet” solar wind + actual F10.7
- **GITM Test 2**: “quiet” solar wind + “quiet” F10.7







Modeling Experiment Results




Modeling Experiment Results



Modeling Experiment Results



Modeling Experiment Results




Questions

- Model accuracy degrades when solar irradiance is large?

- Model gets wrong solar irradiance from F10.7?

Another Test

- **GITM Test 3**: Quiet solar wind + Flare Irradiance Spectral Model (FISM)



Answers

- Model accuracy degrades when solar irradiance is strong?

  Not evident based on GITM experiments

- Model gets wrong solar irradiance from F10.7?

  Very likely!

  F10.7 → EUVAC solar flux model → GITM/TIE-GCM

  [Richards et al., JGR, 1994]

Discussion and Future Work

- Modeled TEC response to the June 2012 event depends much more on the F10.7 flux than the interplanetary conditions.

- The EUVAC solar flux model and/or F10.7 index can fail to provide accurate solar irradiance and induce significant TEC forecast errors.


- Next steps
  - Similar modeling experiments with TIE-GCM.
  - Understanding EUVAC model/F10.7 “fail”

Acknowledgement

- Living With A Star Targeted Research and Technology NASA/NSF Partnership for Collaborative Space Weather Modeling Program

- Model developers: A. J. Ridley et al. (GITM), R. G. Roble et al. (TIE-GCM), T. Fuller-Rowell et al. (CTIPe)

- CCMC for conducting the TIE-GCM run




Jet Propulsion Laboratory
California Institute of Technology

jpl.nasa.gov


Backup I

- Jason TEC vs. GIM TEC



Backup II

- Jason TEC vs. GITM TEC



Backup III

- GITM Test 3

